CS370 Operating Systems

Colorado State University Yashwant K Malaiya Fall 2024 L20 Virtual Memory



Slides based on

- Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
- Various sources

Please be considerate

- Allow other students to focus
 - No talking (except for iClicker sessions), humming, etc.
 - No cell phone use (except for iClicker)
 - No laptop/handheld use, unless pledge submitted, and rules followed.
 - No leaving in the middle of the class or just after an iClicker session.



Project D2 Progress report

- Your group has been assigned a Canvas Group (Research or Development).
- One person will submit the report on behalf of the group. Due Oct 31.
 - Use the format specifications for the Final report, with about half the size.
- When graded, all persons will automatically receive the SCORE. Note: A groups involving both students from section needs special attention. One sec 1 students has joined a Sec 801 group.
- All members of a group are expected to contribute their fair share of effort. We will check.



Page Replacement Algorithms

Algorithms

- FIFO
- "Optimal"
- The Least Recently Used (LRU)
 - Exact Implementations
 - Time of use field, Stack
 - Approximate implementations
 - Reference bit
 - Reference bit with shift register
 - Second chance: clock
 - Enhanced second chance: dirty or not?
- Other



First-In-First-Out (FIFO) Algorithm

- Reference string: 7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1
- 3 frames (3 pages can be in memory at a time per process)



- 15 page faults (out of 20 accesses)
- Sometimes a page is needed soon after replacement 7,0,1,2,0,3 (0 out),0, .. Colorado State University

"Optimal" Algorithm Belady 66

• Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time



page frames

- 4th access: replace 7 because we will not use if got the longest time...
- 9 page replacements is optimal for the example
- But how do we know the future pages needed?
 Can't read the future in reality.
- Used for *measuring* how well an algorithm performs.



Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time (4th access – page 7 is least recently used ..._)
- Associate time of last use with each page

Track usage carefully!



page frames

- 12 faults better than FIFO (15) but worse than OPT (9)
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- But how to implement it by tracking the page usage?

LRU and OPT are cases of *stack algorithms* that don't have Belady's Anomaly

Colorado State University

LRU Algorithm: Implementations

Possible tracking implementations

- Counter implementation
 - Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
 - When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to find smallest value
 - Search through table needed
- Stack implementation
 - Keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
 - Page referenced:
 - move it to the top
 - requires 6 pointers to be changed
 - Each update expensive
 - No search for replacement needed (bottom is least recently used)



Use Of A Stack to Record Most Recent Page References



Too slow if done in software



LRU Approximation Algorithms

- LRU needs special hardware and still slow
- **Reference** 1 bit per frame to track history
 - With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
 - When the page is referenced, bit set to 1
 - Replace any page with reference bit = 0 (if one exists)
 - 0 implies not used since initialization
 - We do not know the order, however.
- Advanced schemes using more bits: preserve more information about the order



Ref bit + history shift register

LRU approximation 9 bits per frame to track history

Ref bit: 1 indicates used, Shift register records history. Examples:

| Ref Bit | Shift Register | Shift Register after OS timer interrupt |
|---------|----------------|---|
| 1 | 0000 0000 | 1 000 0000 |
| 1 | 1001 0001 | 1 100 1000 |
| 0 | 0110 0011 | 0011 0001 |

- Interpret 8-bit bytes as **unsigned integers**
- Page with the lowest number is the LRU page: replace. Examples:
 - 00000000 : Not used in last 8 periods
 - 01100101 : Used 4 times in the last 8 periods
 - 11000100 used more recently than 01110111



Second-chance algorithm

- Second-chance algorithm
 - Generally FIFO, plus hardware-provided reference bit
 - Avoid throwing out a heavily used page
 - "Clock" replacement (using circular queue): hand as a pointer
 - Consider next page
 - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
 - reference bit = 1 then: give it another chance
 - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
 - consider next page, subject to same rules



Second-Chance (clock) Page-Replacement Algorithm



- **Clock** replacement: hand as a pointer
- Consider next page
 - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
 - reference bit = 1 then:
 - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
 - consider next page, subject to same rules

Example:

(a) Change to 0, give it another chance(b) Already 0. Replace page



Enhanced Second-Chance Algorithm

Improve algorithm by using reference bit and modify bit (if available) in concert clean page: better replacement candidate

- Take ordered pair (reference, modify)
- 1. (0, 0) neither recently used not modified best page to replace
- (0, 1) not recently used but modified not quite as good, must write out before replacement
- 3. (1, 0) recently used but clean probably will be used again soon
- 4. (1, 1) recently used and modified probably will be used again soon and need to write out before replacement
- When page replacement called for, use the clock scheme but use the four classes replace page in lowest non-empty class
 - Might need to search circular queue several times



Counting Algorithms

- Keep a counter of the number of references that have been made to each page
 - Not common
- Least Frequently Used (LFU) Algorithm: replaces page with smallest count
- Most Frequently Used (MFU) Algorithm: based on the argument that the page with the smallest count was probably just brought in and has yet to be used



Clever Techniques for enhancing Perf

- Keep a buffer (pool) of free frames, always
 - Then frame available when needed, not found at fault time
 - Read page into free frame and select victim to evict and add to free pool
 - When convenient, evict victim
- Keep list of modified pages
 - When backing store is otherwise idle, write pages there and set to non-dirty (being proactive!)
- Keep free frames' previous contents intact and note what is in them
 - If referenced again before reused, no need to load contents again from disk
 - Generally useful to reduce penalty if wrong victim frame selected



Buffering and applications

- Some applications (like databases) often understand their memory/disk usage better than the OS
 - Provide their own buffering schemes
 - If both the OS and the application were to buffer
 - Twice the I/O is being utilized for a given I/O
 - OS may provide "raw access" disk to special programs without file system services.



Allocation of Frames

How to allocate frames to processes?

- Each process needs *minimum* number of frames
 Depending on specific needs of the process
- Maximum of course is total frames in the system
- Two major allocation schemes
 - fixed allocation
 - priority allocation
- Many variations



Fixed Allocation

- Equal allocation For example, if there are 100 frames (after allocating frames for the OS) and 5 processes, give each process 20 frames
 - Keep some as free frame buffer pool
- Proportional allocation Allocate according to the size of process (need based)
 - Dynamic as degree of multiprogramming, process sizes change

$$s_j$$
 = size of process p_j Example:
Processes P1,P2m = 62
 $s_1 = 10$
 $s_2 = 127$
 $a_1 = \frac{10}{137} \times 62 \approx 4$ $m = total number of frames $a_j = allocation for $p_j = \frac{S_j}{S} \times m$ $m = 62$
 $s_2 = 127$
 $a_1 = \frac{10}{137} \times 62 \approx 4$ $a_2 = \frac{127}{137} \times 62 \approx 57$ Colorado State University$$

Priority Allocation

- Use a proportional allocation scheme using priorities rather than size
- If process **P**_i generates a page fault,
 - select for replacement one of its frames or
 - select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number



Global vs. Local Allocation

- Global replacement process selects a replacement frame from the set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
 - But then process execution time can vary greatly
 - But greater throughput, so more common
- Local replacement each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames
 - More consistent per-process performance
 - But possibly underutilized memory



Problem: Thrashing

- If a process does not have "enough" pages, the page-fault rate is very high
 - Page fault to get page
 - Replace existing frame
 - But quickly need replaced frame back
 - This leads to:
 - Low CPU utilization, leading to
 - Operating system thinking that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming leading to
 - Another process added to the system
- Thrashing = a process is busy swapping pages in and out



Thrashing (Cont.)



Colorado State University

Demand Paging and Thrashing

- Why does demand paging work?
 Locality model
 - Process migrates from one locality to another
 - Localities may overlap
- Why does thrashing occur in a process?

size of locality > total memory size allocated

- Limit effects by using local or priority page replacement



Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern



25

Working-Set Model

• $\Delta \equiv$ working-set window \equiv a fixed number of page references

Example: Δ = 10 page references

page reference table

....2615777751623412344434344413234443444....



• WSS_i (working set of Process P_i) =

total number of pages referenced in the most recent Δ (varies in time)

- if Δ too small, working set will not encompass entire locality
- if Δ too large, working set will encompass several localities
- ws is an approximation of locality
- $D = \Sigma WSS_i \equiv \text{total demand for frames for all processes}$
 - if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing

M is number of frames

Policy if D > m, then suspend or swap out one of the processes

Page-Fault Frequency Approach

- More direct approach than WSS
- Establish "acceptable" **page-fault frequency (PFF)** rate for a process and use local replacement policy
 - If actual rate too low, process loses frame
 - If actual rate too high, process gains frame



number of frames



Working Sets and Page Fault Rates

- Direct relationship between working set of a process and its pagefault rate
- Working set changes over time
- Peaks and valleys over time



Peaks occur at locality changes: 3 working sets



Memory-Mapped Files

- Memory-mapped file I/O allows file I/O to be treated as routine memory access by mapping a disk block to a page in memory
- File is then in memory instead of disk
- A file is initially read using demand paging
 - A page-sized portion of the file is read from the file system into a physical page
 - Subsequent reads/writes to/from the file are treated as ordinary memory accesses
- Simplifies and speeds file access by driving file I/O through memory rather than read() and write() system calls
- Also allows several processes to map the same file allowing the pages in memory to be shared
- But when does written data make it to disk?
 - Periodically and / or at file close() time
 - For example, when the pager scans for dirty pages



Memory Mapped Files



Colorado State University

Allocating Kernel Memory

- Treated differently from user memory
- Often allocated from a free-memory pool
 - Kernel requests memory for structures of varying sizes
 - Process descriptors, semaphores, file objects etc.
 - Often much smaller than page size
 - Some kernel memory needs to be contiguous
 - e.g. for device I/O
 - approaches (skipped)



Other Considerations -- Prepaging

- Prepaging
 - To reduce the large number of page faults that occurs at process startup
 - Prepage all or some of the pages a process will need, before they are referenced
 - But if prepaged pages are unused, I/O and memory was wasted
 - Assume s pages are prepaged and fraction α of the pages is used
 - Is cost of s * α saved pages faults > or < than the cost of prepaging s * (1- α) unnecessary pages?
 - $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ near zero \Rightarrow greater prepaging loses



Other Issues – Page Size

- Sometimes OS designers have a choice
 - Especially if running on custom-built CPU
- Page size selection must take into consideration:
 - Fragmentation
 - Page table size
 - I/O overhead
 - Number of page faults
 - Locality
 - TLB size and effectiveness
- Always power of 2, usually in the range 2¹² (4,096 bytes) to 2²² (4,194,304 bytes)
- On average, growing over time



Page size issues – TLB Reach

- TLB Reach The amount of memory accessible from the TLB
- TLB Reach = (TLB Size) X (Page Size)
- Ideally, the working set of each process is stored in the TLB
 - Otherwise there is a high degree of page faults



Other Issues – Program Structure

- Program structure
 - int[128,128] data; i: row, j: column
 - Each row is stored in one page
 - Program 1

128 x 128 = 16,384 page faults

128 page faults



Example: MS Windows

- Uses demand paging with **clustering**. Clustering brings in pages surrounding the faulting page
- Processes are assigned working set minimum and working set maximum
 - Working set minimum is the minimum number of pages the process is guaranteed to have in memory
 - A process may be assigned as pages up to its working set maximum
- When the amount of free memory in the system falls below a threshold, automatic working set trimming is performed to restore the amount of free memory
 - Working set trimming removes pages from processes that have pages in excess of their working set minimum



CS370 Operating Systems

Colorado State University Yashwant K Malaiya Fall 2024



File-system

Slides based on

- Text by Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne
- Various sources

File-Systems

Ch 13: File system interface

- File Concept, types
- Attributes, Access Methods, operations, Protection
- Directory Structure, namespace, File-System Mounting, File Sharing
- Ch 14: File system implementation
- Ch 15: File system internals
- Storage abstraction: File system metadata (size, free lists), File metadata(attributes, disk block maps), data blocks
- Allocation of blocks to files: contiguous, sequential, linked list allocation, indexed
- In memory info: Mount table, directory structure cache, open file table, buffers
- Unix: inode numbers for directories and files

Ch 11: Mass storage: technology specific details



File Systems



"MS. GRIMMETT, I SORT OF LIKED THE OLD FILING SYSTEM ... IN THE FILE CABINETS."



File types

| Type | used | hv | programs | not OS |
|------|------|----|----------|--------|
| iype | useu | Dy | programs | 10105 |

| file type | usual extension | function |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| executable | exe, com, bin or none | ready-to-run machine- language program |
| object | obj, o | compiled, machine language, not linked |
| source code | c, cc, java, pas, asm, a | source code in various languages |
| batch | bat, sh | commands to the command interpreter |
| text | txt, doc | textual data, documents |
| word processor | wp, tex, rtf, doc | various word-processor formats |
| library | lib, a, so, dll | libraries of routines for programmers |
| print or view | ps, pdf, jpg | ASCII or binary file in a format for printing or viewing |
| archive | arc, zip, tar | related files grouped into one file, sometimes com- pressed, for archiving or storage |
| multimedia | mpeg, mov, rm, mp3, avi | binary file containing audio or A/V information |

Colorado State University

File Attributes

- Name only information kept in human-readable form
- **Identifier** unique tag (number) identifies file within file system
- **Type** needed for systems that support different types
- Location pointer to file location on device
- Size current file size
- **Protection** controls who can do reading, writing, executing
- Time, date, and user identification data for protection, security, and usage monitoring
- Information about files are kept in the directory structure, which is maintained on the disk
- Many variations, including extended file attributes such as file checksum

| T _E X 11.tex | 111 KI |
|---|--|
| Modified: To | day 2:00 PM |
| Spotlight Common | ents: |
| ▼ General: | |
| Kind: TeX Doct Size: 111,389 Where: /Users/g Created: Today 1: Modified: Today 2: Label: X | ument bytes (115 KB on disk) reg/Dropbox/osc9e/tex 46 PM 00 PM |
| Stationer | y pad |
| | |
| ▼ More Info: | |
| Last opened: Toda | y 1:47 PM |
| ▼ Name & Extension | n: |
| 11.tex | |
| Hide extension | |
| V Open with: | |
| TEX texmaker | : |
| Use this application like this one. | n to open all documents |
| Change All | |
| ▶ Preview: | |
| Sharing & Permiss You can read and s | sions: write |
| Name | Privilege |
| L greg (Me) | Read & Write |
| staff | Read only No Access |
| everyone | THO ALCESS |
| | |
| 1- AT | 8 |



Disk Structure

Disk can be subdivided into partitions

- Disks or partitions can be RAID protected against failure
- Partition can be formatted with a file system.
 Different partitions can host different file systems.
- Entity containing file system known as a volume
- Each volume containing file system also tracks that file system's info in device directory or volume table of contents

As well as **general-purpose file systems** there are many **special-purpose file systems**, frequently all within the same operating system or computer



Directory Structure

Directory: A collection of nodes containing information about all files



Both the directory structure and the files reside on disk



Operations Performed on Directory

- Traverse the file system
- List a directory
- Search for a file
- Create/Delete/Rename a file



Directory Organization

• All files within a directory must have a unique name. But ..

Evolution of directory structure

- Single level directory
- Two-level directory
- Tree-structured directories:
 - efficient grouping, searching,
 - absolute or relative path names
- Acyclic graph directories
 - Shared sub-directory, files



